U.S. Application Serial No. 10/501,253 Atty. Docket No. 10191/3759 Reply to Final Office Action of January 7, 2008

Amendments to the CLAIMS:

Without prejudice, this listing of the claims replaces all prior versions and listings of the claims in the present application:

Listing of Claims:

1 to 10. (Canceled).

11. (Currently Amended) A method for recognizing a visual obstruction using an image sensor associated with a vehicle, comprising:

recording an image by the image sensor, wherein the image sensor is focused on an external region beyond the vehicle;

analyzing [[an]] the image recorded by [[an]] the image sensor, wherein the image sensor is focused on a region external to the vehicle, wherein at least one of a presence and a type of a visual obstruction is determined by the analysis of the image, wherein the analysis includes measuring a blurriness of at least a portion of the image;

producing a signal which indicates one of the presence and the type of the visual obstruction; and

controlling downstream systems based on the signal.

- 12. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 11, wherein the at least one of the presence and the type of the visual obstruction is determined by measuring a relative blurriness of different parts of the image.
- 13. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 11, wherein the blurriness is measured based on one of a contrast spectrum of the image, a Fourier spectrum, and a autocorrelation function of the image.
- 14. (Previously Presented) The method of claims 11, wherein the at least one of the presence and the type of visual obstruction is determined based on a measured distribution of the blurriness by comparison with reference distributions.
- 15. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 11, wherein an analysis of at least one image recorded after an initial wiping operation on a windshield of a motor vehicle is used to determine whether to initiate a next wiping operation.
- 16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 15, wherein the determination regarding the next wiping operation is based on blurriness of a first image that was recorded immediately

U.S. Application Serial No. 10/501,253 Atty. Docket No. 10191/3759 Reply to Final Office Action of January 7, 2008

after the initial wiping operation in comparison to blurriness of an image recorded subsequent to the first image.

- 17. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 11, further comprising: turning on a windshield light if a scene has a contrast below a predetermined threshold.
- 18. (Canceled).
- 19. (Currently Amended) A device for identifying a visual obstruction, comprising:
 an image sensor for recording an image, wherein the image sensor is focused on an external region external to beyond the vehicle; and

an evaluation unit for analyzing the image recorded by the image sensor; wherein the evaluation unit outputs a signal that indicates at least one of a presence and a type of the visual obstruction based on the analysis of the image, wherein the analysis includes measuring a blurriness of at least a portion of the image; and

wherein the signal is used to control downstream systems.

20. (Currently Amended) The device of claim 19, wherein the <u>downstream systems include</u> signal is used to control at least one of windshield wipers, windshield heating systems, and windshield washer systems.